



## Application Tool for the Partnership Filter ©<sub>2004</sub> EXAMPLE

Name of Organization: National Olympic Committee of XXXX Completed: November 2004  
 Proposed Project: Scaling up of Olympic Academy Clubs with a focus on young girls

	Vision and Values	Readiness and Commitment	Critical Driving Forces (Accountability)	Strategic Synergies	Commitment to Sustainability	Link to Local Structures	Best Fit and Long Term Benefits	Win-Win Situation	Operating Principles
Partner's Illustration of Meeting Filter Criteria	Strong commitment to activities that address the needs of youth at risk, particularly young women and girls through the Olympic Academy	Identification of a local leader to coordinate program, with secured salary funding. Successfully hosted a CGC intern in 2002/2003	Commitment from President, Board and Secretary General illustrated in a CGC/NOC memorandum of understanding to work in partnership from 2002-2006 inclusive. Project proposal ratified by Board.	Development through sport is relatively new in XX country. No NGO's exist and the Sport for All program was discontinued in 2001.	This community development project was identified and committed to in the 2004 strategic plan. Contributions to this plan were made by all sport stakeholders.	Relations have been strained with both the Ministry and Youth and the Sport and Recreation Commission post Athens	Strategic plan as well received and the NOC has used the momentum from the planning session to reignite stagnant working committees.	Relationships with government will make launching this program challenging. There are concerns regarding division of workload	Shared vision and values demonstrated in the working relationship since 2002. Solidified in the strategic plan.
Issues to Note	Focus on high performance sport, in years 3 and 4 of the Olympiad cycle development projects take secondary priority in these years	Willing to work together to address sustainability issues to reach long term goals. Completion of a feasible strategic plan in early 2004.	New Board elected in 2004 for a 4 year term, ratified past MOU with CGC	The strategic plan showed great strides in briefing stakeholders on the benefits of development through sport. Still very new.	Steering committee from the strategic plan has created a funding framework and has approached the IOC and local UN agencies with favorable responses.	Personality driven conflict has been escalating since return of the team from Athens.	Olympic Academy//Clubs working committee has shown commitment to timelines, project plans and implementation strategies. Has begun to operate with seed funds from the NOC	The NOC is committed to doing what it takes to mending these strained relations, but it will take some time.	Frequent communication with NOC and community of practice highlighted as a strength as we work in true partnership. Abandonment of donor/recipient terminology and thought process.
(+, 0, -)	0	+	+	0	+	-	+	-	+

Scoring: Add 1 point for (+) score, no points for (0) score, subtract 1 point for (-) score

EXAMPLE TOTAL: 0, +, +, 0, +, -, +, -, + = 2 x (0) plus 5 x (+) plus 2 x (-) = +5 and -2 = +3 therefore this is a positively skewed partnership